|  | A II AI NI -   | Alicent/s)  | - Ar                    |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| ·  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |                         |
| Notice of Allowability   | 10/714,442   | SRDJAN KORDIC ET  | * AL.                   |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                         |
|  | Jasmine J. Clark   | 2815  |                         |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe<br>All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS<br>herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85)<br>NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI<br>of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313   | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in<br>or other appropriate comm<br>GHTS. This application is a   | n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due o   | d<br>ourse. <b>THIS</b> |
| 1. X This communication is responsive to 10/12/04.   |  |   |                         |
| 2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .   |  |   |                         |
| 3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> are accepted by   | the Examiner.  |   |                         |
| <ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. </li> </ul>   | been received. been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file ENT of this application.                  | on No  d in this national stage application  e a reply complying with the requ  | uirements               |
| <ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit<br/>INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>   |  |   | TICE OF                 |
| 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus  (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers  1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the stacked Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the stacked Examiner's comment regard | on's Patent Drawing Reviews  Amendment / Comment on the Managery  Selection on the Header according to 37 Circles  Selection of BIOLOGICAL MAT | r in the Office action of  he drawings in the front (not the bound of |                         |
| A44.c.b.m.c.m4(c)  |  | ·   |                         |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 5. Notice of Ir  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-  | -152)                   |
| 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |  | ummary (PTO-413),   |                         |
| Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date  | Paper No.<br>8), 7. ☐ Examiner's   | /Mail Date<br>Amendment/Comment   |                         |
| 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit  | 8. 🗌 Examiner's  | Statement of Reasons for Allow  | /ance                   |
| of Biological Material   | 9. 🛭 Other <u>See</u>  | Continuation Sheet.   |                         |
|  |  | Jasmine J Clark Primary Examiner AU 2815  |                         |

Application No. 10/714,442

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-37)**

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: Applicants' remarks/arguments regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112 have been considered. I response, the Examiner hereby withdraws the 112 rejection as it pertains to claims 1-16. This application was re-searched in clas 257, subclasses 774, 773,758, 748, 784 in view of the limitations of the claimed invention. The research was ineffective.

The references of interest are cited. Please see Anthony et al. (US 2004/0008466 A1) and Keeth (US 6,661,041 B2) in Figs. 1 and 1A, and Fig. 44C respectively.